UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,146	11/25/2003	Hue Scott Snowden	19076A	9253
23556 7590 07/16/2008 KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. Catherine E. Wolf			EXAMINER	
			FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P	
401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/723,146	SNOWDEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William P. Fletcher III	1792
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-35 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-32 and 35 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-27, 33, and 34 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	e withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment and remarks filed April 15, 2008, are noted with appreciation.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 6-35 remain pending.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 28-32 and 35 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on February 27, 2006.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed April 15, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claim 1, as amended, as noted in connection with originally-filed claim 5, it would have been obvious to incorporate an organic phosphate ester anti-static agent. Applicant's assertion that anti-static agents, broadly, can negatively impact water repellency is noted. This is not persuasive because Baldwin already teaches the preparation of an alcohol-repellent coating including an anti-static monovalent salt. Since the reference is silent with respect to the effects of the monovalent salt on the water-repellency, it is the fair teaching of this reference that the presence of the monovalent salt anti-static, at least, does not interfere with the water-repellency of the substrate. From this it is clear that preparation of a coating having a desired alcohol- and water-repellency, and including an anti-static agent, is well-within the level of skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. Even though none of the references of

Application/Control Number: 10/723,146 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

record suggest that addition of an organic phosphate ester to the composition of Baldwin in view of Coates would detrimentally affect the water repellent properties of the coating, it is the Examiner's position that the level of skill of one skilled in the art, as evidenced by Baldwin, is such that a desired alcohol- and water-repellency may be achieved for a given amount of anti-static material. Thus, it would have been readily obvious to one skilled in the art to compensate for any potential negative effects of the organic phosphate ester on the water-repellency of the coating by adjusting known compositional/procedural parameters.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-3, 6-8, and 11-27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin (US 4,411,928 A) in view of Coates (US 4,028,887 A), both in view of either Gilbert (US 4,000,233 A) or Weipert (US 4,169,062 A).

action.

Page 4

- B. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the process of Baldwin in view of Coates so as to further include an organic phosphate ester anti-static agent, as noted in the prior Office actions in connection with original claim 5, and as explained above.
- 8. Claims 9, 10, 33, and 34, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin and Coates, both in view of *either* Gilbert *or* Weipert, as applied to claim 1 above (in the case of claims 9 and 10), and further in view of Potts (US 5,145,727 A).
 - A. Baldwin and Coates, and Gilbert or Weipert, are applied herein again as set forth above.
 - B. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of Baldwin and Coates, and Gilbert or Weipert, so as to utilize, as the non-woven substrate, and S/M/S laminate, as noted in the prior Office actions.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1792

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Sunday, 5:00 AM - 12:00 PM and Monday through Friday, 5:00 AM - 3:30 PM; on campus every Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,146

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 6

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/

Primary Examiner

July 14, 2008